



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/356,771	07/16/1999	JOSEPH E. PORCELLI	1310-2	8276	
7	7590 07/08/2003				
THOMAS M GALGANO ESQ			EXAMINER		
GALGANO & BURKE 300 RABRO DRIVE			MORRISON, NASCHICA SANDERS		
SUITE 135 HAUPPAUGE	E, NY 11788		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3632		
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003	DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applie	cant(s)			
Office Action Summany	09/356,771		CELLI, JOSEPH E.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Ur	nit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Naschica S Morris		andones address			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover	sneet with the correspo	onderice address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	66(a). In no event, however within the statutory mining ill apply and will expire S cause the application to	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be c IX (6) MONTHS from the mailin become ABANDONED (35 U.S	onsidered timely. g date of this communication. S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 N</u>	fav 2003					
,	s action is non-fin	al				
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa			ion as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under language Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-22</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from considera	tion.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requiren	nent.				
Application Papers	_					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	<del>-</del> · ·	=	, .			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or	r (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, , , , , , ,	3 (1, (1, 1)				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been recei	ved.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	,	55 · _ 5 · _ 5 · _ 5 · _ 5				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🗌	Interview Summary (PTO-4 Notice of Informal Patent Ap Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is the fifth Office Action for serial number 09/356,771, Car and Truck Beverage Holder, filed on July 16, 1999. Claims 18-22 are pending.

#### Response to Amendment

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,938,160 to Hartmann et al. (Hartmann) in view of U.S. Patent Des. 355,336 to Dickey et al. (Dickey), and further in view of DE 197 29 689. Regarding claims 18 and 20, Hartmann discloses a plastic beverage container holder comprising: a basket (14) having two side walls (in Fig. 3, defined as the left and right portions of 10 when split into equal halves) each having a top edge (at 15 - Fig. 1) collectively defining a top end opening, the two side walls disposed opposite one another and each having at least two openings (the openings are separated by member 14 shown in Fig. 1) therethrough disposed beneath the top edge border, four resilient fingers (16) coupled to the basket and depending from one of the top edge borders such that they each extend downwardly and inwardly into a different one of the side wall openings, and a

Application/Control Number: 09/356,771

Art Unit: 3632

generally U-shaped hook (18) attached to and extending above the basket. Hartmann does not disclose the basket and opening being rectangular. Dickey discloses a container holder (Fig. 1) comprising a rectangular basket defining a rectangular opening. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the basket to be rectangular in shape (wherein it includes the at least two oppositely disposed side walls each having the two openings and corresponding resilient fingers) because one would have been motivated to provide a beverage container holder for rectangular beverage containers as taught by Dickey (Claim, lines 1-2). Hartmann in view of Dickey also fails to disclose the fingers having a V-shaped profile. DE 197 29 689 discloses a beverage container holder (Fig. 1) including a plurality of retaining members (16) having a V-shaped profile when viewed in a vertical plane with the point of the V (see Figure 3) extending towards a central opening. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the resilient fingers to have a V-shaped profile because one would have been motivated to provide a means for securely holding the container as inherently taught by DE 197 29 689 (see Abstract). Regarding claim 19, Hartmann in view of Dickey and further in view of DE 197 29 689 does not disclose the holder being a single unitary member. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have formed the holder to be unitary because one would have been motivated to reduce assembly time and manufacturing costs. Furthermore, one-piece construction, in place of separate

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/356,771

Art Unit: 3632

elements fastened together, is a design consideration within the level of ordinary skill of the art.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 4,928,865 to Lorence et al. (Lorence) in view of Dickey in view of U.S. Patent 5,297,767 to Miller et al. (Miller) and further in view of DE 197 29 689. Regarding claim 21, Lorence discloses a beverage container holder comprising: a rectangular tray (62) including two openings (68) each having a top edge border and a supporting member (70) rotatably coupled to the tray and pivotable into a position located below the openings (Fig. 1). Lorence does not teach the openings being rectangular. Dickey discloses a beverage container holder including a rectangular opening. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the openings to be rectangular in shape because one would have been motivated to provide a holder for rectangular beverage containers as taught by Dickey (Claim, lines 1-2). Lorence in view of Dickey also fails to disclose a plurality of resilient fingers extending inwardly within the openings. Miller discloses a beverage container holder (Fig. 2) comprising two openings (31,41) and two sets of four resilient fingers (35, 45) depending from the top wall and each extending within an opening (31, 41). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified each of the openings by including at least four resilient fingers therein because one would have been motivated to provide a means for snugly holding a container to the holder as taught by Miller (col. 2, lines 23-30). Lorence in view of Dickey in view of Miller teaches the holder as applied above, but does not disclose the

fingers being V-shaped. DE 197 29 689 discloses a beverage container holder (Fig. 1) including a plurality of retaining members (16) having a V-shaped profile when viewed in a vertical plane with the point of the V (see Figure 3) extending towards a central opening. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the resilient fingers to have a V-shaped profile because one would have been motivated to provide a means for securely holding the container as inherently taught by DE 197 29 689 (see Abstract).

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lorence in view of Dickey in view of Miller in view of DE 197 29 689, and further in view of U.S. Patent 4,530,480 to Pratt. Regarding claim 22, Lorence in view of Dickey in view of Miller in view of DE 197 29 689 discloses the beverage container holder as applied above, but does not disclose the supporting member (70) being spring biased into the position located below the opening. Pratt discloses a container holder (Fig. 2) having a spring means (60) for biasing a support member (44) into a lowered position. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the holder by including a spring means because one would have been motivated to permit removal of the beverage container and positioning of the support member for collapse for storage as taught by Pratt (col. 5, lines 2-11).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/356,771

Art Unit: 3632

# Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Naschica S. Morrison, whose telephone number is (703) 305-0228. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie Braun can be reached at 703-308-2156. The fax machine telephone number for the Technology Center is (703) 872-9326 (formal amendments) or (703) 872-9327 (After Final amendments).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this Application should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist at (703) 872-9325.

Maschica S. Morrison Patent Examiner Art Unit 3632

6/20/03

KIMBERT WOOD
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6